



Architecture Engineering Planning Interiors

Use of District Resources

pals Funding Sources

amwork Communication

Cooperation

Tradition

Fundraising

arning Environment

BEATRICE FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

Citizens' Committee Meeting #4 – April 29th, 2015

15

ligh Student Achievement t Centered Learning

Approach | Future Meeting Dates

FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

Tentative Planning Meeting Overview

Meeting # 1 – April 9th - 6:30 – 8:00 (Paddock Lane Elementary School)
Master Plan Process Overview & Physical Plant & Educational Program
Assessment Review
Building Tour

Meeting # 2 – April 14th – 6:30 – 8:00 (Stoddard Elementary School) Review of Building Solution Options Building Tour

Meeting # 3 – April 20th - 6:30 - 8:00 (Cedar Elementary School) Review Building Option Total Project Costs Review of Tax Impact & Operational Cost Savings Building Tour

Meeting # 4 – April 29th - 6:30 – 8:00 (Lincoln Elementary School)
Review Options – Questions - Discussion
Open Small & Large Group Discussion / Straw Poll
Develop Consensus for Recommendation
Building Tour

Need 2-3 volunteers to present a recommendation to the School Board and to continue promoting the process



Questions From Our Previous Meeting

FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

Q: When is the HS bond done?

A: The High School Bond will be paid off on 12/15/16

Q: Do we currently have a credit rating, and if so what is it?

A: Currently, the District does not have a credit rating. The District applied for Bond Insurance in 1996, 2001, 2008 instead of getting a stand alone rating. The AAA rating on those issues was a reflection of the Bond Insurance Company. In 2012 the District issued refunding bonds without a credit rating or bond insurance due to the size of the issue.

Q: How will this bond impact our credit rating?

A: The District currently does not have a credit rating.

Q: Is there a calculation of for bond capacity? Is it high, low, or manageable? Can we handle this as a city/district?

A: The voters of the District ultimately make the decision for these questions. The bond capacity is limited only by the amount the voters will approve.

Q: Have traffic patterns been thought through? How are you going to control traffic flow?

A: A traffic study is in the process of being requested. It will be completed prior to any potential referendum vote.

Q: What would our overall / total levy be?

A: It will depend upon the final decision on the building options, project scope and timing.

Q: Does the facility have capacity for growth?

A: Yes all options have accommodations for some modest growth. <u>The school board has recommended that the project include two additional classrooms so as to provide at least 7 classrooms per grade level and a total of 51 PK – 5 classrooms for all proposed options.</u>

Questions From Our Previous Meeting

FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

Q: Are the current buildings figures exact numbers / fixed amounts?

A: The numbers that have been provided to date are all conceptual cost estimates. The final proposed costs will be determined before a referendum is called for by the school board.

Q: Are the current plans final?

A: No, there will be many hours of design workshops and meetings with the district staff, administration and school board before any final plans are issued for bidding and construction.

Q: In the last 10 years, where did your projects come in at compared to your bond projection?

A: Typically, our projects fall within a 3% - 5%+ / - range of the anticipated total project cost. This is why we typically include a minimum of 3% contingency for the design and construction phase of the project. For renovation / remodeling projects the contingency is typically increased to a minimum of 8%.

Q: What percent is the escalation factor?

A: For estimating purposes, we have used an average inflation rate of 3% per year. Depending on the option there may be more than one year of inflation included in the cost projections.

Q: Do you know what the high school cost?

A: The original total project cost for the new high school, which was built in 1996, was \$12.5M. When adjusted for inflation, the total project cost for the new High School in 2017 would be \$34.5M.

Q: In other districts in our similar situation how many have chosen to renovate vs. build new?

A: Since every situation and school districts are different, it is often difficult to compare what one school district has determined what is best for their situation, compared to another. There are many example of districts similar to Beatrice that have moved to a central elementary school site configuration, but there are also examples, (i.e. Lincoln Public Schools) that have determined it best to remodel existing schools in certain situations where a replacement building just was not practical.

Elementary School Construction Cost Historical Data

Inflation 2014

Inflation 2015

102.6%

103.0%

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CONSTRUC	CTION COST SUI	MMARY						
School Facility	Bennington Elementary School	Blair Elementary School	Lincoln Arnold Elementary School	Elkhorn Elementary School	Kearney Elementary School	Norris Elementary School	Holdrege Elementary School	Average
Date of Construction Start	Jun-08	Mar-07	Apr-08	Jan-09	Apr-10	Mar-12	Oct-13	0 -
Square Footage	64,080	63,000	99,100	62,518	59,750	67,239	78,826	70,645
General Construction	\$77.46	\$92.42	\$80.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Mechanical Construction	\$33.57	\$30.16	\$26.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Electrical Construction	\$13.18	\$11.70	\$11.10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total G/M/E	\$124.21	\$134.28	\$118.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Geo Thermal	\$5.81	\$4.24	\$6.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Bleachers	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flooring	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
SF Cost	\$130.02	\$138.52	\$124.79	\$131.70	\$125.60	\$186.20	\$153.03	
Total Construction Cost			\$12,366,689	\$8,233,621	\$7,504,821	\$12,520,153	\$12,063,118	
SF cost adjusted for inflation	\$156.91	\$175.36	\$150.60	\$158.94	\$146.74	\$202.68	\$161.72	\$163.93
Total Cost adjusted for inflation	\$10,054,875	\$11,047,717	\$14,924,420	\$9,936,533	\$8,767,667	\$13,627,979	\$12,748,062	
NOTE: These cost do not include	the cost of sit	e acquisition, sit	e grading, util	ity infrastructur	e, street exten	sions, continge	ency and A/E fee	es
Inflation 2002	105.9%							
	106.4%							
	107.6%							
	106.8%							
	107.0%							
	107.5%							
	104.9%							
	103.3%							
Inflation 2010	101.3%							
Inflation 2011	104.8%							
Inflation 2012	101.1%							

Elementary School Construction Cost Data

- Recent New Elementary School Bids Received April 23, 2015
- Bids are inclusive of site improvement costs
 - 62,895 SF new elementary school
 - Three bids received
 - Bid Range Cost / SF \$165 \$182 / SF
 - Average Cost / SF \$172 / SF

litions and New Construction Cost	151,355 SF		\$26,154,05
On-Site Development		\$1,891,943	\$1,891,943
Off-Site Development		\$0	\$0
Elementary School	151,355 SF	\$140 SF	\$21,189,767
Equipment			
Fixed Equipment			\$500,000
Furniture, Furnishings & Equipment (Movable)			\$0
Technology and Technology Equipment			\$575,15°
Geothermal Well Field (included in Construction cost)	151,355 SF	\$ 605,422	\$605,422
Support Buildings (Storage, Concessions, Restrooms, Press Boxes, etc)	SF	\$0	\$0
Storm Shelter Construction Premium		1000 # people	\$630,000
Construction Management Fees		\$ 761,768 LS	\$761,76
ST OF THE WORK			\$26,154,05

Program Options

- Status Quo (Not A Viable Option)
- Option # 1
 - Renovation & Additions to Cedar Elementary PK-5 School
 - Renovation & Additions to Lincoln Elementary PK-5 School
 - Renovation & Additions to Paddock Lane Elementary PK-5 School
 - Renovation & Additions to Stoddard Elementary PK-5 School
- Option # 2
 - Renovation of Lincoln School for use as Pre-School Center
 - New Central K-5 Elementary School
- Option # 3
 - New Central PK-5 Elementary School

Option # 1 – Total Project Cost Summary - REVISED

	Renovation and remodeling Cost	Addition and New Construction Cost	COST OF THE WOK	Architect and Engineering Fees	Site Development Cost	General and Jurisdictional Expenses	PROJECT EXPENDITURES SUBTOAL	PROJECT BUDGET including contingency	TOTAL PROJECT ESCALATED BUDGET	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT BUDGET
Lincoln Elementary School	\$4,557,951	\$3,462,642	\$8,020,594	\$710,017	\$113,076	\$110,455	\$8,954,142	\$9,595,790	\$10,267,495	\$10,801,405
Paddock Lane Elementary School	\$4,407,662	\$4,915,135	\$9,322,797	\$811,939	\$109,917	\$126,603	\$10,371,255	\$11,117,079	\$11,561,762	\$12,162,974
Stoddard Elementary School	\$3,441,044	\$3,246,632	\$6,687,676	\$586,630	\$105,284	\$93,927	\$7,473,517	\$8,008,531	\$8,809,384	\$9,267,472
Cedar Elementary School	\$2,745,108	\$3,183,536	\$5,928,644	\$515,468	\$86,622	\$84,515	\$6,615,250	\$7,089,541	\$7,798,496	\$8,204,017
All Project Total	\$15,151,765	\$14,807,945	\$29,959,710	\$2,624,053	\$414,900	\$415,500	\$33,414,164	\$35,810,941	\$38,437,136	\$40,435,868

Option # 2 – Total Project Cost Summary - REVISED

	Renovation and Demolition Cost	Addition and New Construction Cost	COST OF THE WOK	Architect and Engineering Fees	Site Development Cost	General and Jurisdictional Expenses	PROJECT EXPENDITURES SUBTOAL	PROJECT BUDGET including contingency	TOTAL PROJECT ESCALATED BUDGET	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT BUDGET
Lincoln PK School	\$4,557,951	\$0	\$4,684,744	\$443,149	\$113,076	\$69,091	\$5,196,984	\$5,571,764	\$5,794,634	\$6,095,955
Paddock Lane Elementary School	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000
Stoddard Elementary School	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000
Cedar Elementary School	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000
New 850 Student K-5 School	\$0	\$23,554,413	\$23,554,413	\$1,589,923	\$1,906,800	\$337,075	\$27,388,211	\$28,094,844	\$29,218,637	\$30,738,006
All Project Total	\$4,917,951	\$23,554,413	\$28,239,158	\$2,033,072	\$2,019,877	\$406,166	\$32,585,195	\$33,666,607	\$35,013,271	\$37,193,961

Option # 3 – Total Project Cost Summary - REVISED

New 1,000 Student PK-5 School All Project Total	\$0	\$26,154,051 \$26,154,051	\$26,154,051 \$26,154,051	\$1,765,398 \$1,765,398	\$1,967,621 \$1,967,621	\$369,310 \$369,310	\$30,256,381 \$30,256,381	\$31,041,003 \$31,041,003	\$32,282,643 \$32,282,643	\$33,961,340 \$34,441,340
Cedar Elementary School	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000
Stoddard Elementary School	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000
Paddock Lane Elementary School	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000
Lincoln Elementary School	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000
	Demolition Cost	Addition and New Construction Cost	COST OF THE WOK	Architect and Engineering Fees	Site Development Cost	General and Jurisdictional Expenses	PROJECT EXPENDITURES SUBTOAL	PROJECT BUDGET including contingency	TOTAL PROJECT ESCALATED BUDGET	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT BUDGET



Robert Taylor Memorial Hwy

DLR Group

Key Issues - Financial Impact Options - Revised

FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

Beatrice Public Schools

Elementary Facility Improvement Estimated Tax Levy Options

Length of Bond		20 Year		25 Year			
Bond Option & Cost	Cents Per \$100 of Valuation						
Option # 1\$40,400,000	\$	0.1909	\$	0.1598			
Option # 2\$37,200,000	\$	0.1697	\$	0.1410			
Option # 3\$34,400,000	\$	0.1514	\$	0.1253			

EXAMPLE

For a \$34.4M Bond Scope for 25 years, an owner of a property assessed at \$100,000, would pay an additional \$125 in property tax per year, when compared to the current school tax levy rate.

NOTE: Homestead Exemption is available to resident property owners that meet residency and income requirements.

Straw Poll Survey

FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

- This is a non-scientific polling process
- Everyone will be able to weigh in on the proposed questions
- Voting will anonymous
- One vote per clicker
- Results will be seen tonight
- Same questions will be available for on-line voting

Straw Poll Community Survey

FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

To Participate in the Beatrice Public Schools Community Survey

- 1. Go to https://mysidewalk.com/organizations/199992/beatrice-public-schools.
- 2. Sign in with Facebook, LinkedIn, Google+ or create a new mySidewalk account.
- 3. Click on "initiatives" on the left hand side of our School District's page.
- 4. Start participating in our survey.

Approach | Future Meeting Dates

FACILITY AUDIT & MASTER PLAN STUDY

Tentative Planning Meeting Overview

Meeting # 1 – April 9th - 6:30 – 8:00 (Paddock Lane Elementary School) Master Plan Process Overview & Physical Plant & Educational Program Assessment Review Building Tour

Meeting # 2 – April 14th – 6:30 – 8:00 (Stoddard Elementary School) Review of Building Solution Options Building Tour

Meeting # 3 – April 20th - 6:30 - 8:00 (Cedar Elementary School) Review Building Option Total Project Costs Review of Tax Impact & Operational Cost Savings Building Tour

Meeting # 4 – April 29th - 6:30 – 8:00 (Lincoln Elementary School)
Review Options – Questions - Discussion
Open Small & Large Group Discussion / Straw Poll
Develop Consensus for Recommendation
Building Tour

Need 2-3 volunteers to present a recommendation to the School Board and to continue promoting the process



